DECISION-MAKER:	COUNCIL
SUBJECT:	PROPOSED WARDING PATTERNS SUBMISSION TO BOUNDARY COMMISSION – SOUTHAMPTON ELECTORAL REVIEW
DATE OF DECISION:	24 TH JANUARY 2022
REPORT OF:	LEADER OF THE COUNCIL

CONTACT DETAILS					
Executive Director	Title	Deputy Chief Executive			
	Name:	Mike Harris Tel: 023 8083 28		023 8083 2882	
	E-mail:	Mike.harris@southampton.gov.uk			
Author:	Title	Head of Business Operations			
	Name:	Gaetana Wiseman	Tel:	023 8083 2422	
	E-mail:	Gaetana.wiseman@southampton.gov.uk			

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

Not applicable

BRIEF SUMMARY

The Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) informed the council in February 2021 of its intention to carry out an Electoral Review of Southampton City Council focusing on the council's size (number of elected councillors required to run the council and the number of wards) and its ward boundaries. This report sets out the council's preferred future pattern of wards to be submitted as part of public consultation on phase two of its Electoral Review. The proposed warding patterns within this submission adhere to the statutory criteria governing electoral reviews as set out by the LGBCE:

- There should be equality of electorate across all wards (meaning councillors in each ward should represent approximately the same number of voters)
- Ward patterns should, as far as possible, reflect community interests and identities and boundaries should be identifiable
- Electoral arrangements should promote effective and convenient local government

The recommendations in this report also reflect the outcome of phase one of Southampton's Electoral Review (conducted May – October 2021) following which the LGBCE recommended that the council should increase its council size from 48 councillors to 51 with an additional ward (increase of 16 to 17 wards). As the authority elects by thirds, the LGBCE also agreed a continuation of a pattern of three councillors per ward.

RECON	MENDA	TIONS:
	(i)	That an additional ward be incorporated into the centre of the city catering for existing and forecast electorate and housing development growth as detailed in appendix A and B, taking the city's total number of wards from 16 to 17.
	(ii)	That other modifications to ward boundaries across the city, which adhere to the statutory criteria as defined in the summary section of this report, and outlined in detail in Appendix A&B are approved as the council's consultation response.
REASC	NS FOR	REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS
1.	Local G requirer review i	ply with the statutory requirement to carry out an electoral review, the overnment Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) has a legal ment to review all local authorities from time to time. An electoral s an examination of a council's electoral arrangements and mpton was last reviewed 20 years ago.
2.		rding arrangements proposed in this report adhere to the statutory governing electoral reviews as set out by the LGBCE.
ALTER	NATIVE	OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED
3	achieve compris the view further of published	als to changes in ward boundaries put forward in this report have been ad following the co-operation of the cross-party Task and Finish Group sing Labour and Conservative members, and where possible reflects we of local community groups. Some areas of the city remain subject to consideration and further revisions to appendices may need to be sed before consideration at Full Council, after discussion with both groups.
DETAIL	_ (Includi	ng consultation carried out)
4	May 202 reasons the Con	mpton City Council's Electoral Review will run from May 2021 until 23. The LGBCE conducts an electoral review of a council for two s: At the request of the local authority; or if the local authority meets nmission's intervention criteria:
	• b	a) If one ward has an electorate of +/-30% from the average electorate or the authority b) If 30% of all wards have an electorate of +/-10% from the average electorate for the authority.
	16 (18.5 variance Swayth	mpton meets the Commission's criteria for electoral inequality with 3 of 5%) wards with variance outside 10%. One ward (Bargate) has a e outside 30%. The largest variances are Bargate ward (32%) and ling ward (-15%). The review is not, however, limited to those outlier out is city wide.
5	develop council	one of the review (May – October 2021) included the council bing detailed recommendations (supported by evidence) on proposed size (i.e the number of elected councillors) together with the assembly rear forecast electorate data.

pment and Construction Act 2009 (Sec ent Boundary Commission for England iew of electoral arrangements of each
the report:
s as part of the Local Government riew.
ation to increase the council's size by an uncillors, the cost of this will include allowance, as well as any additional and rarely claimed or incurred). Including National Insurance contributions annum. This would mean an additional moses, increased by the living wage each
2 of the review (recommendations around cost of purchasing specialist ward ociated licences (approx £6,000) which oudget.
's recommendations for proposed ward ons made, before publishing their iod of public consultation which will take ally 2022).
w the council is required to provide attern of wards to the LGBCE. These a cross party Task and Finish Group ur councillors. The recommendation for daries is provided in Appendix A&B.

KEY DECISION?	No			
WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED:		As detailed in the appendices. Not all wards are to be revised.		
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION				

Appendices					
1.	Proposed warding patterns for the city effective from 2023 (A&B)				
Docum	ents In Members' Rooms				
1.	None.				
2.					
Equality Impact Assessment					
Do the implications/subject of the report require an Equality and No					
Safety Impact Assessment (ESIA) to be carried out.					
Data Protection Impact Assessment					
Do the implications/subject of the report require a Data Protection No Impact Assessment (DPIA) to be carried out.			No		
Other Background Documents					
Other Background documents available for inspection at:					
Title of	Background Paper(s)	Relevant Paragraph of the Access to Information Procedure Rules / Schedule 12A allowing document to be Exempt/Confidential (if applicable)			

1.

2.